



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**  
**Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------

09/256,265    02/23/99    KAO

D    16405-311

025696    MM91/1019  
OPPENHEIMER WOLFF & DONNELLY  
P. O. BOX 10356  
PALO ALTO CA 94304

EXAMINER

DIAZ, I

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2815

DATE MAILED:

10/19/01

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks**

## Interview Summary

Application No.

09/256,265

Applicant(s)

KAO ET AL.

Examiner

José R. Díaz

Art Unit

2815

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) José R. Díaz.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Tamiz Khan.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 15 October 2001.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Claim(s) discussed: 1,8 and 16.

Identification of prior art discussed: Middelhoek et al. (US Patent No. 5,216,269).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussions focused upon a proposed amendment, faxed on October 12, 2001. The proposed amendmens raises new issues that would require further consideration. Furthermore, it is considered that the reference Middelhoek et al. does teach the new proposed limitations on col. 13, lines 58-61.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview(if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

  
Examiner's signature, if required

10/15/01